UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,540	04/15/2005	Stanka Perc	4061-27PUS	1415
27799 COUEN PON	7590 12/20/2007	AVANE	EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			HOUGHTLING, RICHARD A	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK,	NY 10176	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MEW Torde,	111 101/0		1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/531,540	PERC ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Richard A. Houghtling, Ph.D.	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
لطاره	Claim(s) 10-55 are subject to restriction and/or	Clotton requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
 application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
·	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies her recent				
Attachmen	• •	0	((PTO 413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/531,540 Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 18-32,

drawn to a pharmaceutical formulation comprising a) olanzapine, b) a monosaccharide and/or oligosaccharide and/or a reduced or oxidized form thereof with c) a polysaccharide and optionally one or more additional excipients, followed by direct compression of the tablets in the absence of any solvent.

Group II, claim 33,

drawn to a process of making a stable pharmaceutically solid oral formulation comprising combining a) olanzapine, b) a monosaccharide and/or oligosaccharide and or a reduced or oxidized form thereof, c) a polysaccharide and optionally one or more additional excipients, followed by direct compression of the tablets in the absence of any solvent.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is olanzapine or pharmaceutically acceptable salts.

Application/Control Number:

10/531,540 Art Unit: 1617

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is permitted only if all, inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in all groups is olanzapine or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

In this case, Divi et al. (WO 03/086361 A1, as found in Applicants' IDS (PTO-1449) submitted 03 January 2006) teach a rapidly dispersing solid oral composition

Application/Control Number:

10/531,540 Art Unit: 1617

comprising olanzapine. Divi et al. teach this compound, olanzapine, as the "pharmaceutically active ingredient" (see pg. 4, lines 7-8; pg. 5, lines 24-25; Example 13; and claims 10-18). Likewise in the pending application, Applicants teach a pharmaceutical composition containing olanzapine (see p. 1, 1st ¶, lines 1-2; p. 3, lines 10-11).

Since the compound olanzapine has been identified in the prior art, there is no special technical feature present in the claims. As a result, the inventions in Groups I-II fail to make a contribution over the prior art with respect to novelty and inventive step. In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of inventions, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

10/531,540 Art Unit: 1617

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the compounds are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the compounds to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Due to the complicated nature of the restriction, the restriction requirement is being made via written correspondence in lieu of a telephone interview.

Applicant is advised that in order for the reply to this requirement to be complete it must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.141).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1617

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Houghtling, Ph.D. whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: Page 7

10/531,540 Art Unit: 1617

is 571-272-9334. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm and alternate Friday 8:00 am -12 noon.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The Group 1600 fax phone number where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center representative whose telephone number is (571)-272-1600.

Richard A. Houghtling, Ph.D.

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER